App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1933. (VP)

File No. VP 22-33

Serial Nos. 348

Later References

PRESERVED

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Two

Part B for October 933

SUBJECT.

Levying an optional tax @ 2 as on every rupee on compulsory tax now being levied by the VP of Avalahalli in Kankanhalli Tk

H 8/

To the Revenue Comr the Comptr
L 2532-3 of 17-10-33
Sanctions

23.11.33

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section V. P.

File No. 22—33

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to.....334.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue....17/10/..... 193 3.

Signed by Mr A. Seeba Rao

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, kannada part ?

Read—

Letter No. C-4900-/32—33. dt 12.7.33 from the Dep. Commr. in Mysore stating that the Dy Commr, Bangalore has recommended Sanction to the resolution passed at the Village Panchayat of Aralalu in Kankanhalli Taluk, for levying under Section Order thereon—No 36 of the V. P. Regn, an Annual tax of two annas on every rupee of Compulsory tax now being levied in the Panchayat, in connection with the proposal to provide electric lights for street lighting in the village and requesting

Appendix F G—11

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section VP

File No. 32-33

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. L 7921

To the Compt^r

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

With reference to your letter no 881/ Loans. Dt 14.4.34, I am directed to state that the suggestion made in your letter no 115/Loans Dt 1.8.33 that Govt sanction the design of properties for village extensions in cases where the cost is to be met from the Panchayat funds need not be communicated to your office as these funds are not subject to audit in your office, has been noted for adoption in future.

I have &c-

Date of issue 4-5-34

Signed by M. A. Subba Rao

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 0677—GPB—15,000—8-11-33

4239/L 4239 5205/P 2

VP File No. 12 of 1933. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No. | Flags. C L D

7. Reference is invited to the eight registered sale deeds filed with the records. The sale deeds show that purchases were made in 6 cases in 1927 & in two cases in 1931

PS 278/3

8 For favour of opinion whether acquisition proceedings are not necessary in the case.

Asstt Secy, Revenue (Ins) Camp

9 As the original owners of the land in question have sold it by means of registered deeds to the present several persons who now desire to construct houses on the land, what is required is that the land should be removed from the Revenue accounts and added on to the village site. Under the Rules, is it not required that in such cases [illegible] is to be paid some amount for its loss of revenue. If so, who is going to pay this? Is it the Village Panchayat or the several purchasers concerned?

10 If it is the intention to vest this land in the Village Panchayat, before it is used for building purposes, acquisition proceedings may be taken to acquire the property from its present owners, the necessary cost being met out of village Panchayat funds. The V.P. may then

Vide paper flagged B in the records, which show that the assessment has been demanded from the persons who have purchased the lands

27/7

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 3rd May 1934.

No. L 7921/UP 32.33-3

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Development Department.*

To

The Comptroller to Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.881/Loans dated 14th April 1934, I am directed to state that the suggestion made in your letter No.115/Loans dated 1st August 1933 that Government Orders sanctioning the acquisition of properties for village extension in cases where the cost is to be met from the Panchayet Funds need not be communicated to your office as these Funds are not subject to audit in your office, has been noted for adoption in future.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department